

Winterton Community Academy

Non -Examination Assessment Policy 2022-23

Centre Number 44377

This policy is reviewed annually to ensure compliance with current regulations

Approved/reviewed by	
Amanda Walker	
Date of next review	November 2023

Key staff involved in the policy

Role	Name(s)
Head of centre	Kevin Rowlands
Quality assurance lead / Lead internal verifier	Aby Dalowsky
Exams officer	Amanda Walker
ALS lead/SENCo	Emma Forman
Senior leader(s)	Aby Dalowsky, Louise Daveran, Rob Featherstone, Kevin Gallacher, Michelle Romaniw

Contents

Key staff involved in the policy	Error! Bookmark not defined.
What does this policy affect?	4
Purpose of the policy	4
What are non-examination assessments?	4
Procedures for planning and managing non-examination asseresponsibilities	
The basic principles	5
Task setting	6
Issuing of tasks	6
Task taking	6
Supervision	6
Advice and feedback	7
Resources	7
Word and time limits	7
Collaboration and group work	7
Authentication procedures	8
Presentation of work	8
Keeping materials secure	8
Task marking – externally assessed components	9
Conduct of externally assessed work	9
Task marking – internally assessed components	10
Marking and annotation	10
Internal standardisation	10
Consortium arrangements	11
Submission of marks and work for moderation	11
Storage and retention of work after submission of marks	12
External moderation – the process	13
External moderation – feedback	13
Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments	13
Special consideration and loss of work	13
Malpractice	14
Post-results services	15
Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences design Bookmark not defined.	ned for use in EnglandError!

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English La England	5 5 1
Private candidates	
Qualification/Subject specific additional information	Error! Bookmark not defined.
[Insert qualification type & subject]	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Management of issues and potential risks associated v	vith non-examination assessments16

What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

The regulators' definition of an examination is very narrow. In effect, any type of assessment that is not:

- set by an awarding body
- designed to be taken simultaneously by all relevant candidates at a time determined by the awarding body, and
- taken under conditions specified by the awarding body (including conditions relating to the supervision of candidates during the assessment and the duration of the assessment)

is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA).

'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'. (JCQ's Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments, Foreword)

This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to:

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities for non-examination assessments
- > manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

The policy will need to cover all types of non-examination assessment. (NEA, section 1)

What are non-examination assessments?

Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

· task setting;

- task taking;
- task marking. (NEA, section 1)

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

The basic principles

Head of centre

- Returns a declaration (managed as part of the National Centre Number Register annual update)
 to confirm awareness of, and that relevant centre staff are adhering to, the latest version of NEA
- Ensures the centre's Non-examination Assessment Policy is fit for purpose and covers all types of non-examination assessment
- Ensures the centre's Internal Appeals Procedures clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking

Senior leaders

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with <u>NEA</u> and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for nonexamination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Subject head/lead

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- Ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in <u>NEA</u>
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the
 awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any
 subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's
 website

- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry
 for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code for the qualification
 or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ NEA publication to relevant centre staff
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

Task setting

Subject teacher

- Selects tasks to be undertaken where a number of comparable tasks are provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

Issuing of tasks

Subject teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures the correct task is issued to candidates.

Task taking

Supervision

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution and it must be possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ Information for candidates' documents

Advice and feedback

Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- Will not provide candidates with model answers or writing frames specific to the task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

Resources

Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any
 preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is
 stored electronically
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce augmented notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Word and time limits

Subject teacher

 Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

Collaboration and group work

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

Authentication procedures

Subject teacher

- ❖ Where required by the awarding body's specification:
 - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
 - Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
 - Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector (Electronic signatures are acceptable)
 - Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in <u>NEA</u> and informs a member of the senior leadership team
 - Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the work has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark(s) awarded by the centre to zero

Presentation of work

Subject teacher

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work
- Ensures if candidates' work is to be submitted electronically, that it meets the awarding body's specified requirements

Keeping materials secure

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in NEA 4.8
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until all possible post-results services have been exhausted
- If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant series
- If post-results services have been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) once the review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed

- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line on social media or through any other means (Reminds candidates of the contents of the JCQ document *Information for candidates – Social Media*)
- Where work is stored electronically, liaises with the IT Manager to ensure the protection and back-up of candidates' work and that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access to it between sessions
- Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until the
 deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may be used for other purposes,
 provided that the originals are stored securely as required

IT Manager

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Restricts access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software
- Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained
- Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within
 it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable

Task marking – externally assessed components

Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject teacher

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ *Instructions for conducting* examinations
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

Exams officer

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations

Submission of work

Subject teacher

Pays close attention to the completion of the attendance register, if applicable

Exams officer

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where applicable
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly

- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner or uploaded electronically, ensures this is completed by the date specified by the awarding body
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Ensures that the package in which the work is despatched is robust and securely fastened
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

Task marking – internally assessed components

Marking and annotation

Head of centre

- Makes every effort to avoid situations where a candidate is assessed by a person who has a
 close personal relationship with the candidate, for example, members of their family (which
 includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their
 immediate family (e.g son/daughter)
- Where this cannot be avoided, ensures the possible conflict of interest is declared to the relevant awarding body and the marked work is submitted for moderation whether or not it is part of the moderation sample

Subject head/lead

 Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline

Subject teacher

- Accesses awarding body training/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed of the timescale set by the subject lead or as indicated in the
 centre's internal appeals procedure to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking
 to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the
 awarding body

Internal standardisation

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by:
 - o obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
 - o holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
 - carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period

- after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
- making final adjustments to marks prior to submission, retaining work and evidence of standardisation
- Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

Consortium arrangements

Subject head/lead

- Ensures a consortium co-ordinator is nominated (where this may be required as the consortium lead)
- If the consortium lead, liaises with the exams officer to ensure the relevant awarding body is informed that the centre is part of a consortium by submitting Form JCQ/CCA Centre consortium arrangements for centre-assessed work for each exam series affected
- Ensures procedures for internal standardisation as a consortium are followed

Subject teacher

- Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Retains all candidates' work in the consortium until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

Exams officer

- Where the centre is the consortium lead:
 - submits an online notification of Centre consortium arrangements for centre-assessed work to the relevant awarding body through the Centre Admin Portal (CAP) by no later than the published deadline for each exam series affected
 - o submits marks for home centre candidates to the awarding body deadline

Submission of marks and work for moderation

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded, to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the
 external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to
 the exams officer to the internal deadline

- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the
 relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the
 moderator in addition to the sample requested
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body/Provides the exams officer with any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of
 the marks submitted, to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers that marks have
 been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation:
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
 - o proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Through the subject teacher, submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Subject teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period
- In liaison with the IT Manager, takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- If retention is a problem because of the nature of the work, retains some form of evidence such as photos, audio or media recordings

Exams officer

 Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

External moderation – the process

Subject teacher

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

External moderation – feedback

Subject head/lead

- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next exam series

Exams officer

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

Subject teacher

 Works with the ALS lead/SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

Additional Learning Support (ALS lead)/Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements and</u>
 <u>Reasonable Adjustments</u> in relation to non-examination assessments including <u>Reasonable</u>

 Adjustments for GCE A-level sciences Endorsement of practical skills
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

Special consideration and loss of work

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication <u>A guide to the special consideration</u> process
 - Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
 - Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
 - Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- Refers to/directs relevant staff where applicable to <u>Form 15 JCQ/LCW</u> and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body

Malpractice

Head of centre

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates or centre staff
- Ensures any irregularity identified by the centre before the candidate has signed the
 authentication statement (where required) are dealt with under its own internal procedures,
 with no requirement to report the irregularity to the awarding body (The only exception being
 where the awarding body's confidential assessment materials has been breached, the breach
 must be report to the awarding body)
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice</u>: <u>Policies and Procedures</u>
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates
 producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures
 that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected
 malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice
- Ensures candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non-examination assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-examination</u> assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

Exams officer

- Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice</u>: <u>Policies and Procedures</u> to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work</u> to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates' documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

Post-results services

Head of centre

- Is familiar with the JCQ publication Post-Results Services
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a review of results or an appeal

Subject head/lead

Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results

Subject teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of moderation to the internal deadline

Exams officer

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally
 assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication PostResults Services (Information and guidance to centres...)
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

Head of centre

 Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register annual update, confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

Subject teacher

Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood

- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction* or *Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and recordings

Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Centre staff malpractice	Records confirm that relevant centre staff are familiar with and follow: • the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments • the JCQ document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work - www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments	
Candidate malpractice	Records confirm that candidates are informed and understand they must not: • submit work which is not their own • make available their work to other candidates through any medium • allow other candidates to have access to their own independently sourced material • assist other candidates to produce work • use books, the internet or other sources without acknowledgement or attribution • submit work that has been word processed by a third party without acknowledgement • include inappropriate, offensive or obscene material Records confirm that candidates have been made aware of the JCQ documents Information for candidates - non-examination assessments and Information for candidates - Social Media - www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/information-for-candidates-documents and understand they must not post their work on social media	
	Task setting	
Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course	

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
task details where set	IT systems checked prior to key date	
task details accessed	Alternative IT system used to gain access	
from the awarding body	Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	
online		
Centre set task: Subject	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training	
teacher fails to meet	information, practice materials etc.	
the assessment criteria	Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task	
as detailed in the	setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification	
specification	Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	
Candidates do not	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria	
understand the marking	described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an	
criteria and what they	individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for	
need to do to gain	candidates	
credit	Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	
Subject teacher long	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff extended	
term absence during	absence at key points in the exam cycle)	
the task setting stage		
	Issuing of tasks	
Awarding body set task	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the	
not issued to candidates	specification noted prior to start of course	
on time	Course information issued to candidates contains details when set	
	task will be issued and needs to be completed by	
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning,	
	resourcing and teaching	
The wrong task is given	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding	
to candidates	body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to	
	candidates	
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	
Subject teacher long	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff extended	
term absence during	absence at key points in the exam cycle)	
the issuing of tasks		
stage		
A candidate (or	Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the	
parent/carer) expresses	sample which will be recorded	
concern about	Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where unable	
safeguarding,	to record the required number of candidates for the monitoring	
confidentiality or faith	sample	
in undertaking a task		
such as a presentation		
that may be recorded		
,	Task taking	

Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed	
Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed	
Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed	
for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed	
for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed	
Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed	
number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed	
Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed	
Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed	
Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed	
publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed	
and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed	
and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed	
specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed	
Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed	
detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed	
Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed	
for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed	
are followed	
•	
An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary	
Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to	
·	
•	
,	
p. 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	
Er re su Cost Er re ta	in internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary rocedures are followed elevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the pecial consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to excord all information provided to candidates before work begins as cart of the centre's quality assurance procedures engular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and signification to starting activity all records kept detailing all information and advice given to andidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component andidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to earting on their work ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to excord all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the ask-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance rocedures

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-	
	off to confirm monitoring activity	
	Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to	
	candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject	
	and component	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the	
	task-taking stage	
A third party claims that	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are	
assistance was given to	interviewed and statements recorded where relevant	
candidates by the	Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance	
subject teacher over	given	
and above that allowed	Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to	
in the regulations and	the awarding body	
· ·	the awarang body	
specification Candidate does not	Candidata is advised at a seneral level to reference information	
Candidate does not reference information	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information	
	before work is submitted for formal assessment	
from published source	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	
	resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	
Candidate does not set	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set	
out references as	out of references before work is submitted for formal assessment	
required	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	
	resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	
Candidate joins the	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to	
course late after	catch up	
formally supervised task		
taking has started		
Candidate moves to	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done	
another centre during	depending on the stage at which the move takes place	
the course		
An excluded pupil wants	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the	
to complete a non-	specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream	
examination	education	
assessment(s)	If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are	
	made separately for the candidate	
Resources		
A candidate augments	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and	
notes and resources	kept secure between formally supervised sessions	
between formally	Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in	
supervised sessions	and kept secure between formally supervised sessions	

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates	
	is restricted between formally supervised sessions	
A candidate fails to	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	
acknowledge sources on	resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including	
work that is submitted	books, websites and audio/visual resources	
for assessment	Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the	
	candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records	
	acknowledges sources appropriately	
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records,	
	awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is	
	submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
Word and time limits		
A candidate is penalised	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked	
by the awarding body	to determine if word or time limits are mandatory	
for exceeding word or	Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from	
time limits	exceeding them	
	Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on	
	word or time limits is known and understood	
Collaboration and group	work	
Candidates have	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked	
worked in groups where	to determine if group work is permitted	
the awarding body	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	
specification states this		
is not permitted		
Authentication procedure	es	
A teacher has doubts	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ	
about the authenticity	document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates'	
of the work submitted	work	
by a candidate for	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current	
internal assessment	JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination	
	assessments	
Candidate plagiarises	Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to	
other material	do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments	
	as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	
	examination assessments	
	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment	
	A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not sign	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current	
their authentication	JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination	
statement/declaration	assessments	
•	Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to	
	comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document	

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a	
	candidate for formal assessment	
Subject teacher not	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign	
available to sign	authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as	
authentication forms	part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	
Presentation of work		
Candidate does not fully	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before	
complete the awarding	accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	
body's cover sheet that		
is attached to their		
worked submitted for		
formal assessment		
Keeping materials secure		
Candidates work	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current	
between formal	JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination	
supervised sessions is	assessments	
not securely stored	Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of	
•	appropriate secure storage	
Adequate secure	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to	
storage not available to	subject teacher prior to the start of the course	
subject teacher	Alternative secure storage sourced where required	
Candidates work	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current	
produced electronically	JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination	
is not securely stored	assessments	
·	Internal processes and regular monitoring/internal audit by IT	
	Manager ensures:	
	 access to this material is restricted (insert how) 	
	appropriate security safeguards are in place (insert)	
	names/types of protection)	
	 an effective back-up strategy is employed so that an up to 	
	date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained (insert	
	details of how work is backed up)	
	 any sensitive digital media is encrypted (according to 	
	awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of	
	encryption is suitable) to ensure the security of the data	
	stored within it (insert relevant details of how)	
A samultalata to observe	Task marking – externally assessed components	
A candidate is absent on	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative	
the day of the examiner	assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate	
visit for an acceptable	If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request	
reason	submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	
A candidate is absent on	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	
the day of the examiner		

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
visit for an unacceptable		
reason		
	Task marking – internally assessed components	.
A candidate submits	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as	
little or no work	absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body	
	Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is	
	assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated	
	appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment	
	criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body	
A candidate is unable to	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	
finish their work for	special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and	
unforeseen reason	the process to be followed for shortfall in work	
The work of a candidate	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication Instructions for	
is lost or damaged	conducting non-examination assessments (section 8), to determine	
	eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	
Candidate malpractice is	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions	
discovered	for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice)	
	are followed	
	Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication	
	Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures are followed	
	Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	
A teacher assesses the	A possible conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding	
work of a candidate	body before the published deadline for entries for each examination	
with whom they have a	series	
close personal	Marked work of said candidate is submitted for moderation whether	
relationship e.g.	part of the sample requested or not	
members of their family		
(which includes step-		
family, foster family and		
similar close		
relationships) or close		
friends and their		
immediate family (e.g.		
son/daughter)	Awarding hady is contacted to determine if an extension and he	
An extension to the	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be	
deadline for submission	granted Relevant staff are signnested to the ICO publication A guide to the	
of marks is required for	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	
a legitimate reason	special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment	
	extension	
After submission of	Awarding body is contacted for guidance	
marks, it is discovered	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	
,	special consideration process (section 2), to determine eligibility and	

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
that the wrong task was	the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for	
given to candidates	candidates	
A candidate wishes to	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for	
appeal/request a review	their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body	
of the marks awarded	Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks	
for their work by their	Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change	
teacher	through the awarding body's moderation process	
	Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified in	
	the centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the internal	
	deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks	
	Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware	
	of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for	
	submitting an appeal/request for a review of the centre's marking	
	prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	
Deadline for submitting	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at	
work for formal	the start of the course	
assessment not met by	Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood	
candidate	Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to	
	determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the	
	awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met	
	Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be	
	accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the	
	awarding body for the candidate	
Deadline for submitting	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each	
marks and samples of	academic year	
candidates work	Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as	
ignored by subject	deadlines approach	
teacher	Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject	
	teachers	
	Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	
Subject teacher long	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff extended	
term absence during	absence at key points in the exam cycle)	
the marking period		